

LISTING OF CLAIMS

1.-112. (Canceled)

113. (Original) A method of supporting a semiconductor wafer, comprising:
supporting a wafer on a susceptor;
permitting gas to flow through the susceptor between regions above and below the susceptor;

supporting the susceptor on a plurality of support arms that extend generally radially outward and upward from an upper section of a substantially vertical shaft, a central vertical axis of the shaft being aligned with a central vertical axis of the susceptor, the arms engaging the susceptor such that rotation of the shaft about the central vertical axis of the shaft causes the susceptor to rotate about the central vertical axis of the susceptor; and

rotating the shaft about the central vertical axis of the shaft.

114. (Original) The method of Claim 113, further comprising providing radiant energy to the wafer and susceptor.

115. (Original) The method of Claim 113, wherein the support arms and the shaft are transparent to radiant energy.

116. (Original) The method of Claim 113, wherein supporting the wafer on the susceptor comprises supporting the wafer on a plurality of spacers extending upwardly from an upper surface of the susceptor, such that the wafer is slightly spaced from the upper surface.

117. (Original) The method of Claim 113, wherein permitting gas to flow through the susceptor comprises permitting gas to flow through one or more gas flow passages in the susceptor, each of the one or more passages having an upper opening at an upper surface of the susceptor and a lower opening at a lower surface of the susceptor.

Appl. No. : **10/642,799**
Filed : **August 18, 2003**

118. (Original) The method of Claim 117, wherein the one or more passages include horizontal channels inside the susceptor.

119. (Original) The method of Claim 113, wherein supporting the susceptor comprises inserting upper ends of the support arms into cavities within a lower surface of the susceptor, each of the cavities positioned along a circle centered on the central vertical axis of the shaft.

Appl. No. : **10/642,799**
Filed : **August 18, 2003**

SUMMARY OF INTERVIEW

Identification of Claims Discussed

Claim 113.

Identification of Prior Art Discussed

U.K. Patent Publication No. 2181458 to Johnson et al. ("Johnson")

Proposed Amendments

No amendments were discussed.

Principle Arguments and Other Matters

Applicants' representative, Mr. Sanjivpal S. Gill, argued that Johnson does not anticipate Claim 113 because Johnson does not disclose supporting a susceptor on a plurality of support arms that extend generally radially outward and upward from an upper section of a substantially vertical shaft.

Results of the Interview

The Examiner indicated that he would further consider the allowability of the claims based on Applicants' arguments submitted herein.